



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant.: Randal A. Stevens et al.
Appln. No.: 10/680,453
Filed: October 7, 2003
For: METHOD OF COATING AN SLA PART
Attorney Docket No.: 34597.1
Group Art Unit: 1762
Attorney: Nelson R. Capes
Additional Fees: Charge to Deposit Account No. 023732

Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL COVER LETTER

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed for filing, please find the following:

1. Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (8 pages)
2. Postcard receipt.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 10/24/06

By: Nelson R. Capes
Gerald E. Helget (Reg. No. 30,948)
Nelson R. Capes (Reg. No. 37,106)
BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.
2200 IDS Center, 80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2157
Telephone: 612-977-8480

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to **Mail Stop RCE**, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.

By: Nelson R. Capes
Date: 10/24/06



RCE
Zhu

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Randal A. Stevens et al.
Appln. No.: 10/680,453
Filed: October 7, 2003
For: METHOD OF COATING AN SLA PART
Group Art Unit: 1762
Examiner: Marianne L. Padgett
Confirmation No.: 4505
Attorney: Nelson R. Capes
Attorney Docket No.: 34597.1
Additional Fees: Charge to Deposit Account No. 023732

Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Sir:

This document is filed in response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment which was mailed on September 28, 2006.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document, along with the documents referenced above, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

By Nelson R. Capes

Date 10/24/06



Applicant has amended the claims as indicated below on a separate sheet. However, Applicant notes that the Examiner has incorrectly cited 37 CFR 1.121 as stating a “formal requirement for single deletions or deletions of sequences of 5 or less words, is now the use of double brackets...”

The text of 37 CFR 1.121 actually states:

The text of any deleted matter **must** be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters **may** be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive **characters**. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived. (emphasis added)